

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

XIX. On the Computation of the Sun's Distance from the Earth, by the Theory of Gravity: In a Letter to Mathew Maty, M. D. Sec. R. S. from the Rev. Mr. Horsley, F. R. S.

SIR,

Read June 1, LITTLE Treatife, that has lately been published, against Dr. Stewart's method of determining the distance of the Sun by the theory of gravity, has put me upon reconfidering a subject which I had long dismissed from my thoughts. I am far from being convinced that Dr. Stewart's conclusions are "erroneous upon "his own principles," as his antagonist affirms; and I am well fatisfied that there is no error in the principles themselves. I have always been sensible that an extreme precision was requisite in determining the mean quantity of the folar force affecting the moon's gravity towards the earth, in order to obtain an accurate estimation of the distance; and this circumstance was mentioned by me, in a paper that I communicated to the Society about two years ago *, before it had been remarked, that I knew of, by any other writer upon the subject. I must now declare, that the imperfection of the method arising from this

^{*} See Philof. Trans. vol. LVII. for 1767, p. 179. 183.
Vol. LIX. X circum-

[154]

circumstance is much greater than I was at first aware of. I owe this better information entirely to the revifal of Dr. Stewart's Theorems, not to any thing that has been written upon the subject by others. find that if I increase the mean quantity of the sun's disturbing force, as determined by Dr. Stewart in the 9th proposition of his Supplemental Tract, and by myself, in my former paper, by 12000 th part of itfelf, I obtain, by my own method of computation, 9" 3", 394 for the Sun's mean horizontal parallax; which feems to be so nearly the mean of the quantities of the parallax deduced from the best observations of the transit of 1761, that it would be ridiculous to set up, any longer, the conclusions of this theory in opposition to observation. It is much more probable that the theory should err in so small a matter as th of the Sun's disturbing force, than that observation should err in more than 2, that is nearly in 1 of the whole quantity in question. I beg the favour of you to communicate this to the Society.

I have the honour to be, Sir,

Your most obedient,

and most humble servant,

Oxford, May 5, 1769.

Samuel Horsley.